#### SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

#### - Carlip 2006

- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

#### Supplementary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Properties and consequences of the Schrödinger-Newton Equation

Domenico Giulini

ITP and Riemann Centre at University of Hannover ZARM Bremen

> Bad Honnef, June 26. 2017 646. WE-Heraeus-Seminar "Gravitational decoherence"

#### SNE

D. Giulini

IOP PUBLISHING

CLASSICAL AND QUANTUM GRAVITY

Class. Quantum Grav. 25 (2008) 154010 (6pp)

doi:10.1088/0264-9381/25/15/154010

# Is quantum gravity necessary?

#### S Carlip

Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

E-mail: carlip@physics.ucdavis.edu

Received 6 February 2008, in final form 24 March 2008 Published 21 July 2008 Online at stacks.iop.org/CQG/25/154010

#### Abstract

In view of the enormous difficulties we seem to face in quantizing general relativity, we should perhaps consider the possibility that gravity is a fundamentally classical interaction. Theoretical arguments against such mixed classical-quantum models are strong, but not conclusive, and the question is ultimately one for experiment. I review some work in progress on the possibility of experimental tests, exploiting the nonlinearity of the classicalquantum coupling, which could help settle this question.

PACS numbers: 04.60.-m, 04.80.Cc, 03.75.Dg

#### Motivation

#### - Carlip 2006

- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Schrödinger 1927

#### Der Energieimpulssatz der Materiewellen; von E. Schrödinger

. . . . . .

Fragt man sich nun, ob diese in sich geschlossene Feldtheorie - von der vorläufigen Nichtberücksichtigung des Elektronendralles abgesehen - der Wirklichkeit entspricht in der Art, wie man das früher von dergleichen Theorien erhofft hatte. so ist die Frage zu verneinen. Die durchgerechneten Beispiele, vor allem das H-Atom, zeigen nämlich, daß man in die Wellengleichung (1) nicht diejenigen Potentiale einzusetzen hat, welche sich aus den Potentialgleichungen (15') mit dem Viererstrom (9) ergeben. Vielmehr hat man bekanntlich beim H-Atom in (1) für die q\_ die vorgegebenen Potentiale des Kerns und eventueller "äußerer" elektromagnetischer Felder einzutragen und die Gleichung nach w aufzulösen. Aus (9) berechnet sich dann die von diesem w "erzeugte" Stromverteilung, aus ihr nach (15) die von ihr erzeugten Potentiale. Diese ergeben dann, zu den vorgegebenen Potentialen hinzugefügt, diejenigen Potentiale, mit denen das Atom als ganzes nach außen wirkt. Man

Gerade die Geschlossenheit der Feldgleichungen erscheint somit in eigenartiger Weise durchbrochen. Man kann dasheute wohl noch nicht ganz verstehen, hat es aber mit folgenden zwei Dingen in Zusammenhang zu bringen.

Ob die Lösung der Schwierigkeit wirklich nur in der von einigen Seiten<sup>3</sup>) vorgeschlagenen bloß *statistischer* Auflässung der Feltheorie zu suchen ist, müssen wir wohl vorläufig dahligestellt sein lassen. Mir persönlich erscheint diese Auflässung heute nicht mehr<sup>1</sup>) endgültig böfreidigend, selbst wenn sie sich präktisch brauchbar erweist. Sie scheint mir einen allzu prinzipiellen Verzicht auf das Verständnis des Einzelvorgangs zu bedeuten.

- Schrödinger "closes" the set of Schrödinger-Maxwell equations by letting ψ source the electromagnetic potentials to which ψ couples, thereby introducing non-linearities, similar to radiation-reaction in the classical theory.
- He asserts that "computations" for the H-atom lead to discrepancies which refute such a self-coupling.
- He wonders why in Quantum Mechanics the closedness of the system of field equations is violated in such a peculiar fashion ("in eigenartiger Weise durchbrochen") and comments of possible impact of probability interpretation on classical concepts of local exchange of energy and momentum.

SNE D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006

- Schrödinger

- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.

- EEP

- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

R. Penrose (Found. Phys. Jan. 2014)

# "So why give quantum theory pride of place in this proposed union?"

#### SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# QM & Gravity: Tested so far







Figure 1 Wavefunctions of the quantum states of neutrons in the potential well formed by the Earth's gravitational field and the horizontal mirror. The probability of finding neutrons a height 2, corresponding to the nth quantum state, is proportional to the square of the neutron wavefunction  $\psi_{i}^{2}(z)$ . The vertical axis z provides the length scale for this pheromenon. E, is the neutron of the nth quantum state.

Nesvizhevsky et al., Nature 2002

$$i\hbar\dot{\Psi} = -rac{\hbar^2}{2m_i}\Delta\Psi + V_{
m grav}\Psi$$
  
 $V_{
m grav} = m_g g z$ 

How do you derive this from first principles?

#### SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Einstein's Equivalence Principle (EEP)

r

 Universality of Free Fall (UFF): "Test bodies" determine path structure on spacetime (not necessarily of Riemannian type). UFF-violations are parametrised by the Eötvös factor

$$\eta(A,B) := 2 \frac{|a(A) - a(B)|}{|a(A) + a(B)|}$$

- Local Lorentz Invariance (LLI): Local non-gravitational experiments exhibit no preferred directions in spacetime, neither timelike nor spacelike. Possible violations of LLI concern, e.g., variations in Δc/c.
- Universality of Gravitational Redshift (UGR): "Standard clocks" are universally affected by the gravitational field. UGR-violations are parametrised by the α-factor

$$\frac{\Delta\nu}{\nu} = (1+\alpha)\frac{\Delta U}{c^2}$$

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

(1)

(2)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

# Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Consequences and difficulties of the equivalence principle

- Gravity can be geometrised and hence ceases to be a force (in the Newtonian sense). This only works if *all* dynamical aspects of gravity can be encoded in space-time geometry and if *all* matter components see the *same* geometry to which they *universally* couple.
- This universal coupling scheme translates to special-relativistic (Poincaré invariant) field theories, but not in an obvious fashion to "non-relativistic" (Galilei invariant) Quantum Mechanics.
- Three approaches are followed in the literature:
  - Redo "Schrödinger Quantisation" for relativistic particles in curved spacetime in a post-newtonian expansion (thus also taking account of vector- and tensor parts of Einsteinian g-field).
  - 2. Derive post-newtonian expansions of relativistic field equations (Klein-Gordan, Dirac, etc.).
  - 3. Start from QFT in curved spacetime.
- Unless all this is understood much better, there is no obvious meaning to "Quantum tests of *the* equivalence principle. The many confusions in recent years on various claims concerning such "quantum-tests" reflect the variation of such meanings and the absence of hard criteria to compare them.

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Homogeneous static gravitational field: Bound states

> Time independent Schrödinger equation in linear potential  $V(z) = m_g g z$  is equivalent to:

$$\left(rac{d^2}{d\zeta^2}-\zeta
ight)\psi=0\,,\quad \zeta:=\kappa z-arepsilon$$

where



▶ Complement by hard (horizontal) wall  $V(z) = \infty$  for  $z \leq 0$  get energy eigenstates from boundary condition  $\psi(z=0) = 0$ , hence  $\varepsilon = -z_n$ :

$$E(n) = -z_n \left[\frac{m_g^2}{m_i} \cdot \frac{g^2 \hbar^2}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(5)

SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP

(3)

(4)

- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# UFF in QM

The following proposition states precisely the extent to which UFF is valid within QM.

 $\blacktriangleright$  We consider a particle of mass m in spatially homogeneous force field  $\vec{F}(t).$  The classical trajectories solve

$$\ddot{\vec{\xi}}(t) = \vec{F}(t)/m \,. \tag{6}$$

Let  $\xi(t)$  denote a solution with  $\vec{\xi}(0) = \vec{0}$  and some initial velocity. Its flow-map  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$  defines a *freely-falling frame*:

 $\Phi(t, \vec{x}) = (t, \vec{x} + \xi(t)).$ 

• **Proposition:**  $\psi$  solves the forced Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(-rac{\hbar^2}{2m_i}\Delta - \vec{F}(t)\cdot\vec{x}
ight)\psi$$

iff

$$\psi = \left(\exp(i\alpha)\,\psi'\right)\circ\Phi^{-1}\,,\tag{9}$$

where  $\psi^\prime$  solves the free Schrödinger equation and

$$\alpha(t,\vec{x}) = \frac{m_i}{\hbar} \left\{ \dot{\vec{\xi}}(t) \cdot \left( \vec{x} + \vec{\xi}(t) \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int^t dt' \|\dot{\vec{\xi}}(t')\|^2 \right\}.$$
 (10)

### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

(7)

(8)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

# Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Schrödinger-Newton equation

Consider. e.g., Einstein – Klein-Gordon system

 $R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{ab}^{KG}(\phi), \quad (\Box_g + m^2)\phi = 0.$ (11)  $\bullet \text{ Make WKB-like ansatz}$  $\phi(\vec{x}, t) = \exp\left(\frac{ic^2}{\hbar}S(\vec{x}, t)\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\hbar}}{c}\right)^n a_n(\vec{x}, t),$ (12)

and perform 1/c expansion (D.G. & A. Großardt 2012).

Obtain

 $i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + mV\right)\psi\tag{13}$ 

where

$$\Delta V = 4\pi G \left( \rho + m |\psi|^2 \right). \tag{14}$$

 Ignoring self-coupling, this just generalises previous results and conforms with expectations.

# SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Schrödinger-Newton equation

 Without external sources get "Schrödinger-Newton equation" (Diósi 1984, Penrose 1998):

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi(t,\vec{x}) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta - Gm^2 \int \frac{|\psi(t,\vec{y})|^2}{||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||} \, d^3y\right) \psi(t,\vec{x})$$

It can be derived from the action

$$S[\psi,\psi^*] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \int d^3x \left( \psi^*(t,\vec{x})\dot{\psi}(t,\vec{x}) - \psi(t,\vec{x})\dot{\psi}^*(t,\vec{x}) \right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3x \left( \vec{\nabla}\psi(t,\vec{x}) \right) \cdot \left( \vec{\nabla}\psi^*(t,\vec{x}) \right) + \frac{Gm^2}{2} \iint d^3x \, d^3y \, \frac{|\psi(t,\vec{x})|^2 \, |\psi(t,\vec{y})|^2}{||\vec{x}-\vec{y}||} \right\}.$$
 (16)

• Alternative local form through introduction of gravitational potential  $\Phi(t, \vec{x})$ .

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

(15)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# SNE: Dimensionless form

• Introducing a length-scale  $\ell$  we can use dimensionless coordinates

$$\vec{x}' := \vec{x}/\ell \,, \qquad t' := t \cdot \frac{\hbar}{2m\ell} \,, \qquad \psi' = \ell^{3/2} \psi$$
 (17)

and rewrite the SNE as

$$i\,\partial_{t'}\psi'(t',\vec{x}') = \left(-\Delta' - K\int \frac{|\psi'(t',\vec{y}')|^2}{||\vec{x}' - \vec{y}'||}\,d^3y'\right)\psi'(t',\vec{x}')\,,\qquad(18)$$

with dimensionless coupling constant

$$K := 2 \cdot \frac{Gm^3\ell}{\hbar^2} = 2 \cdot \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell_P}\right) \left(\frac{m}{m_P}\right)^3 \approx 6 \cdot \left(\frac{\ell}{100 \,\mathrm{nm}}\right) \left(\frac{m}{10^{10} \,\mathrm{u}}\right)^3$$
(19)

Here we used Planck-length and Planck-mass

$$\ell_P := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} = 1.6 \times 10^{-26} \,\mathrm{nm}\,, \quad m_P := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} = 1.3 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{u}\,.$$
 (20)

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Symmetries and scaling properties of SNE

The SNE has all the symmetries as ordinary Schrödinger equation:

Proper orthochronous Galilei transformations

$$(t, \vec{x}) \to \tau_g(t, \vec{x}) := (t+b, \mathbf{R} \cdot \vec{x} + \vec{v}t + \vec{a}),$$

acting via proper ray-representations

$$\Psi o T_g \Psi := \exp(i\beta_g) (\Psi \circ \tau_{g^{-1}})$$

with multiplier-phases

$$eta_g(t,ec x) = rac{m}{\hbar} \Big[ec v \cdot (ec x - ec a) - rac{1}{2} ec v^2 (t-b) \Big] \,,$$

extended by parity and anti-linear time-reversal transformations.

- Global U(1) phase transformations.
- Scaling covariance: Let

$$S_{\lambda}[\psi](t,\vec{x}) := \lambda^{9/2} \psi(\lambda^5 t, \lambda^3 \vec{x}), \qquad (24)$$

then  $S_{\lambda}[\psi]$  satisfies the SNE for mass parameter  $\lambda m$  iff  $\psi$  satisfies SNE for mass parameter m.

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

(21)

(22)

(23)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Collapse: Naive estimate

Free Gaussian

$$\Psi_{\text{free}}(r,t) = \left(\pi a^2\right)^{-3/4} \left(1 + \frac{i\hbar t}{m a^2}\right)^{-3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2a^2 \left(1 + \frac{i\hbar t}{m a^2}\right)}\right).$$
(25)

► Radial probability density,  $\rho(r,t) = 4\pi r^2 |\Psi_{\text{free}}(r,t)|^2$ , has a global maximum at

$$r_p = a \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hbar^2 t^2}{m^2 a^4}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \ddot{r}_p = \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 r_p^3}.$$
 (26)

• At time t = 0 (say) this outward acceleration due to dispersion,  $\ddot{r}_p =$  $\frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 r_2^3}$ , equals gravitational inward acceleration  $\frac{G m}{r_2^2}$  at time t=0 if (compare (19)) 1

$$n^3 a = m_P^3 \ell_p. \tag{27}$$

For  $a = 500 \,\mathrm{nm}$  this yields a naive estimate for the threshold mass for collapse of about  $4 \times 10^9 u$ .

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- FFP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

### Summarv

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Stationary states: Analytical existence and numerical values

- ▶ Note that outward acceleration due to dispersion is  $\propto r^{-3}$  and inward acceleration due to gravity  $\propto r^{-2}$ . Hence there will be no collapse to a  $\delta$ -singularity.
- An analytic proof for the existence of a stable ground state has been given by E. Lieb in 1977 in the context of the Choquard equation for onecomponent plasmas, which is, however, formally identical.
- ▶ Tod et al. investigated bound states numerically and found the (unique) stable ground state at Energy  $E_0$  and width  $a_0$ , given by

$$E_0 = -0.163 \frac{G^2 m^5}{\hbar^2} = -0.163 \cdot mc^2 \cdot \left(\frac{m}{m_P}\right)^4$$
  
$$\approx -mc^2 \cdot 10^{-36} m^4 [10^{10} u],$$

$$a_0 = \frac{2\hbar^2}{Gm^3} = 6 \cdot 10^6 \, \text{ly} \cdot m^{-3}[u]$$
  
\$\approx 10^{-6} \color m \cdot m^{-3}[10^{10} u].

#### SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

(28a)

(28b)

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Stationary states: Rough estimates

 A rough energy-estimate for the ground state is obtained, as usual, by setting

$$E \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^2} - \frac{Gm^2}{2a} \,. \tag{29}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Minimising in a then gives rough estimates for ground state

$$a_0 = \frac{2\hbar^2}{Gm^3} = 2\ell_P \cdot \left(\frac{m_P}{m}\right)^3, \qquad E_0 = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{G^2m^5}{\hbar^2}.$$
 (30)

 Sanity check for applicability of Newtonian gravity (weak field approximation) is that diameter of mass distribution is much larger than its Schwarzschild radius

$$a_0 = \frac{2\hbar^2}{Gm^3} \gg \frac{2Gm}{c^2} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \left(\frac{m}{m_p}\right)^4 \ll 1$$
 (31)

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.

- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# General SNE

SNE is of form

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta + \left(\phi\star|\psi|^2(t,\vec{x})\right)\right)\,\psi(t,\vec{x})$$

where

$$\phi \star |\psi|^2(t,\vec{x}) = -Gm^2 \int \frac{|\psi(t,\vec{x})|^2}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|} d^3y$$
(33)

i.e.

$$\phi(\vec{x}) = -\frac{Gm^2}{r} \,. \tag{34}$$

 Equation (32) is still valid with modified \u03c6 for separated centre-of-mass wave-function. For example, for homogeneous spherically-symmetric matter distribution get

$$\phi(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{Gm^2}{R} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{r^2}{2R^2}\right) & \text{for } r < R \\ -\frac{Gm^2}{r} & \text{for } r \ge R \end{cases}$$
(35)

This equation can be derived for the centre-of-mass wavefunction of an N-particle system obeying the original n-particle SNE of Diósi (1984). SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

(32)

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

# Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# The N-particle SNE

Principle: Each particle is under the influence of the Newtonian gravitational potential that is sourced by an active gravitational mass-density to which each particle contributes proportional to its probability density in position space as given by the marginal distribution of the total wave function.

Hence

$$\rho(t;\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} m_j P_j(t;\vec{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} m_j \int |\Psi_N(t;\vec{y}_1,\cdots,\vec{y}_N)|^2 \,\delta^{(3)}(\vec{y}_j-\vec{x}) \,d^{3N}\vec{y}_j$$
(36)

giving rise to the gravitational potential

$$U_{G}(t; \vec{y}_{1}, \cdots, \vec{y}_{N}) = -G \sum_{i=0}^{N} \int \frac{m_{i}\rho(t; \vec{x})}{\|\vec{y}_{i} - \vec{x}\|} d^{3}x$$

$$= -G \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} \int \frac{m_{i}m_{j}P_{j}(t; \vec{x})}{\|\vec{y}_{i} - \vec{x}\|} d^{3}x$$
(37)

Note that the mutual gravitational interaction is not local and includes self interaction, in contrast to what we usually assume in electrodynamics. It is this difference that implies modifications of the dynamics for the centre-of-mass wavefunction. These modifiations are like for the 1-particle SNE if the width of the wave function is large compared to the support of the matter distribution (D.G. & A. Großardt 2014).

SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

Separation

▶ Using instead of  $\{\vec{x}_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots N\}$  centre-of-mass  $\vec{c}$  and relative coordinates  $\{\vec{r}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha = 1, \dots N\}$  (thereby distinguishing the 0-th particle),

$$\vec{c} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{a=0}^{N} m_a \, \vec{x}_a = \frac{m_0}{M} \vec{x}_0 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \frac{m_\beta}{M} \vec{x}_\beta \,, \tag{38a}$$

$$\vec{r}_{\alpha} := \vec{x}_{\alpha} - \vec{c} = -\frac{m_0}{M} \vec{x}_0 + \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} \left( \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{m_\beta}{M} \right) \vec{x}_{\beta}$$
(38b)

• Get in large N limit with  $\Psi(\vec{x}_0,\cdots\vec{x}_N)=\psi(\vec{c})\chi(\vec{r}_1,\cdots\vec{r}_N)$ 

$$U_G(t; \vec{c}, \vec{r}_1, \cdots, \vec{r}_N) = -G \sum_{\alpha=1}^N m_\alpha \int d^3 \vec{c}' \int d^3 \vec{r}' \frac{|\psi(t; \vec{c}')|^2 \rho_c(\vec{r}')}{\|\vec{c} - \vec{c}' + \vec{r}_\alpha - \vec{r}'\|},$$
(39)

where

$$\rho_{c}(t;\vec{r}) := \sum_{\beta=1}^{N} m_{\beta} \left\{ \int \prod_{\substack{\gamma=1\\\gamma\neq\beta}}^{N} d^{3}\vec{r}_{\gamma} \right\} |\chi(t;\vec{r}_{1},\cdots,\vec{r}_{\beta-1},\vec{r},\vec{r}_{\beta+1},\cdots,\vec{r}_{N})|^{2} .$$
(40)

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Approximation

- For a separation into centre-of-mass and relative motion we wish to get rid of  $\vec{r}_{\alpha}$ -dependence in (39).
- This can, e.g., be achieved by assuming the width of the c.o.m wave function to be much larger than diameter of mass distribution. Then,

$$U_{G} = -G \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_{\alpha} \int d^{3}\vec{c}' \int d^{3}\vec{r}' \frac{|\psi(t;\vec{c}')|^{2}\rho_{c}(\vec{r}')}{\|\vec{c}-\vec{c}'+\vec{r}_{\alpha}-\vec{r}'\|}$$

$$\approx -GM \int d^{3}\vec{c}' \int d^{3}\vec{r}' \frac{|\psi(t;\vec{c}')|^{2}\rho_{c}(\vec{r}')}{\|\vec{c}-\vec{c}'-\vec{r}'\|} = U_{G}(t;\vec{c})$$
(41)

Alternatively one may apply a Born-Oppenheimer approximation that consists of replacing  $U_G$  with its expectation-value in the state  $\chi$  for the relative motion:

$$U_{G} = -G \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_{\alpha} \int d^{3}\vec{c}' \int d^{3}\vec{r}' \frac{|\psi(t;\vec{c}')|^{2}\rho_{c}(\vec{r}')}{\|\vec{c}-\vec{c}'+\vec{r}_{\alpha}-\vec{r}'\|} \\ \approx -G \int d^{3}\vec{c}' \int d^{3}\vec{r}' \int d^{3}\vec{r}'' \frac{|\psi(t;\vec{c}')|^{2}\rho_{c}(\vec{r}')\rho_{c}(\vec{r}'')}{\|\vec{c}-\vec{c}'-\vec{r}'+\vec{r}''\|}$$

$$= U_{G}(t;\vec{c})$$
(42)

 $\Rightarrow$  Both cases result in SNE for c.o.m in the form (32) with  $\phi = U_G(t; \vec{c})$ .

#### SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Consequences

- ▶ For wide c.o.m wave functions SNE leads to inhibitions of qm-dispersion, as discussed before. Typical collapse times for widths of  $500 \,\mathrm{nm}$  and masses about  $10^{1}0 \,\mathrm{amu}$  are of the order of hours. However, by scaling law (24), this reduces by factor  $10^{5}$  for tenfold mass and  $10^{-3}$  fold width.
- For narrow c.o.m. wave functions in Born-Oppenheimer scheme one obtains an effective self-interaction in c.o.m. SNE of

$$U_G(t;\vec{c}) \approx I_{\rho_c}(\vec{0}) + \frac{1}{2}I_{\rho_c}''(\vec{0}) \cdot \left(\vec{c} \otimes \vec{c} - 2\vec{c} \otimes \langle \vec{c} \rangle + \langle \vec{c} \otimes \vec{c} \rangle\right).$$
(43)

where  $I_{\rho_c}(\vec{b})$  is the gravitational interaction energy between  $\rho_c$  and  $T_{\vec{d}}\rho_c$ .

In one dimension and with external harmonic potential this gives rise to modified Schrödinger evolution:

$$i\hbar\partial_t\psi(t;c) = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2M}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c^2} + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_c^2c^2 + \frac{1}{2}M\omega_{\rm SN}^2\left(c - \langle c \rangle\right)^2\right)\psi(t;c)\,,\tag{44}$$

As a consequence covariance ellipse of the Gaussian state rotates at frequency  $\omega_q := (\omega_c^2 + \omega_{\rm SN}^2)^{(1/2)}$  whereas the centre of the ellipse orbits the origin in phase with frequency  $\omega_c$ . This asynchrony is a genuine effect of self-gravity. It has been suggested that it may be observable on optomechanical systems (Yang.et al. 2013).

# SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses



FIG. 1 (color online). Left: according to standard quantum mechanics, both the vector  $\langle\langle x \rangle, \langle p \rangle\rangle$  and the uncertainty ellipse of a Gaussian state for the c.m. of a macroscopic object rotate clockwise in phase space, at the same frequency  $\omega = \omega_{c.m.}$ . Right: according to the c.m. Schrödinger-Newton equation (2),  $\langle (x \rangle, \langle p \rangle)$  still rotates at  $\omega_{c.m.}$ , but the uncertainty ellipse rotates at  $\omega_q \equiv (\omega_{c.m.}^2 + \omega_{SN}^2)^{1/2} > \omega_{c.m.}$ .

Yang-Miao-Lee-Helou-Chen, PRL 110 (2013)

#### SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# The time-dependent SNE



- ▶ Time evolution of rotationally symmetric Gauß packet of initial width 500 nm. Collapse sets in for masses  $m > 4 \times 10^9$  u, but collapse times are of many hours (recall scaling laws, though).
- This is a  $10^6$  correction to earlier simulations by Carlip and Salzman (2006).

#### SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Summary

- There is no obvious way to translate EP = UFF + LLI + UGR to nonclassical systems.
- Statements concerning Quantum Tests of the Equivalence Principle need qualification.
- How does the Schrödinger function couple to all components of the gravitational field; e.g., a gravitational wave? Give *first-principles* derivation!
- What if gravity stays classical?
- How, then, do systems in non-classical states source gravity?
- Schrödinger-Newton equation as limit of semi-classical Einstein equation.
- Inhibitions of dispersion at, e.g.,  $500 \,\mathrm{nm}$  scale for masses above  $10^{10} \,\mathrm{u}$ .
- Potentially interesting consequences from gravity-induced non-linearities in the Schrödinger equation of many particle systems can be derived, e.g., concerning the centre-of-mass motion.
- There is an army of arguments against fundamental semi-classical gravity; but how conclusive are they really?

# THANKS!

# D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

# Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Summary

- There is no obvious way to translate EP = UFF + LLI + UGR to nonclassical systems.
- Statements concerning Quantum Tests of the Equivalence Principle need qualification.
- How does the Schrödinger function couple to all components of the gravitational field; e.g., a gravitational wave? Give *first-principles* derivation!
- What if gravity stays classical?
- How, then, do systems in non-classical states source gravity?
- Schrödinger-Newton equation as limit of semi-classical Einstein equation.
- Inhibitions of dispersion at, e.g.,  $500 \,\mathrm{nm}$  scale for masses above  $10^{10} \,\mathrm{u}$ .
- Potentially interesting consequences from gravity-induced non-linearities in the Schrödinger equation of many particle systems can be derived, e.g., concerning the centre-of-mass motion.
- There is an army of arguments against fundamental semi-classical gravity; but how conclusive are they really?

# THANKS!

### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

# Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# UFF - UGR dependence: Energy conservation



Figure: Gedankenexperiment by NORDIVEDT to show that energy conservation connects violations of UFF and UGR. Considered are two copies of a system that is capable of 3 energy states A, B, and B' (blue, pink, and red), with  $E_A < E_B < E_{B'}$ . Initially system 2 is in state B and placed a height h above system 1 which is in state A. At time  $T_1$  system 2 makes a transition  $B \rightarrow A$  and sends out a photon of energy  $h\nu = E_B - E_A$ . At time  $T_2$  system 1 absorbs this photon, which is now blue-shifted, and makes a transition  $A \rightarrow B'$ . At  $T_3$  system 2 makes a transition be a dard sends out a photon of energy  $h\nu = E_B - E_A$ . At time  $T_2$  system 1 absorbs this photon, which is now blue-shifted, and makes a transition  $A \rightarrow B'$ . At  $T_3$  system 2 has been dropped from height h with acceleration  $g_A$ , has hit system 1 inelastically, leaving one system in state A and at rest, and the other system in state B with an upward motion with kinetic energy  $E_{\rm kin} = M_A g_A h + (E_{B'} - E_B)$ . The latter motion is decelerated by  $g_B$ , which may differ from  $g_A$ . At  $T_4$  the system in state B has climbed to the same height h by energy conservation. Hence have  $E_{\rm kin} = M_B g_B h$  and therefore  $M_A g_A h + M_{B'} c^2 = M_B c^2 + M_B g_B h$ , from which we get

$$\frac{\delta\nu}{\nu} = \frac{(M_{B'} - M_A) - (M_B - M_A)}{M_B - M_A} = \frac{g_B h}{c^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{M_A}{M_B - M_A} \frac{g_B - g_A}{g_B} \right]$$
(45a)  
$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{M_A}{M_B - M_A} \frac{g_B - g_A}{g_B} =: \frac{\delta g/g}{\delta M/M}$$
(45b)

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

#### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# S & KG: Inertial motion

▶ Galilei symmetry is a suitable  $1/c \rightarrow 0$  limit (contraction) of Poincaré symmetry. Likewise, the Schrödinger equation for  $\psi$  is a suitable  $1/c \rightarrow 0$  limit of the Klein-Gordon equation for  $\phi$  if we set

 $\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \exp\{-imc^2 t/\hbar\} \,\psi(t, \vec{x}) \,. \tag{46}$ 

The Klein-Gordon field transforms as scalar

$$\phi'(t', \vec{x}') = \phi(t, \vec{x}).$$
(47)

Hence (46) implies

$$\psi'(t', \vec{x}') = \exp\{-imc^2 (t - t')/\hbar\} \psi(t, \vec{x}).$$

Using

$$t = \frac{t' + \vec{x}' \cdot \vec{v}/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = t' + c^{-2} \left( \vec{x}' \cdot \vec{v} + t'v^2/2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/c^4) \,, \tag{49}$$

The  $1/c\to 0$  limit of Poincaré symmetry by proper representations turns into Galilei symmetry by non-trivial ray representations

$$\psi'(t', \vec{x}') = \exp\{-im(\vec{x}' \cdot \vec{v} + t'v^2/2)/\hbar\} \ \psi(t, \vec{x}) \,. \tag{50}$$

SNE

#### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

(48)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# S & KG: Rigid accelerations

▶ In Minkowski space, rigid motions in *x*-direction and of arbitrary acceleration of a body parametrised by  $\xi$  are given by family of timelike lines  $\tau \mapsto (ct(\tau,\xi), x(\tau,\xi))$ , where

$$ct(\tau,\xi) = c \int^{\tau} d\tau' \cosh \chi(\tau') + \xi \sinh \chi(\tau)$$
(51a)

$$x(\tau,\xi) = c \int^{\tau} d\tau' \sinh \chi(\tau') + \xi \cosh \chi(\tau)$$
(51b)

Here  $\tau$  is eigentime of body element  $\xi = 0$  and  $\chi(\tau) = \tanh^{-1}(v/c)$  is rapidity of all body elements at  $\tau$ .

• The Minkowski metric in co-moving coordinates  $(\tau, \xi)$  reads  $(g := c\dot{\chi})$ 

$$ds^{2} = c^{2} dt^{2} - d\vec{x}^{2} = \left(1 + \frac{g(\tau)\xi}{c^{2}}\right) c^{2} d\tau^{2} - d\vec{\xi}^{2}.$$
 (52)

Write down Klein-Gordon equation in co-moving coordinates

$$\left\{\Box_g + m^2\right\}\phi = \left\{(-\det g)^{-1/2} \ \partial_a \left[(-\det g)^{1/2} \ g^{ab} \partial_b\right] + m^2\right\}\phi = 0.$$
(53)

#### SNE

### D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# S & KG: Rigid accelerations

In analogy to (46) write

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \exp\left\{-imc^2 \tau/\hbar\right\} \psi(t, \vec{x})$$

and take  $1/c^2 \rightarrow 0$  limit; get

$$i\hbar\partial_{\tau}\psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2} + mg(\tau)\xi\right)\psi.$$
 (55)

This corresponds to particle in homogeneous but time-dependent gravitational field pointing in negative  $\xi$ -direction.

Note that again \u03c6 transformed as scalar (compare (47))

 $\phi^{\text{inert}}(t, \vec{x}) = \phi^{\text{acc}}(\tau, \vec{\xi})$ 

but that again this is not true for  $\psi$ , where (compare (46))

$$\begin{split} \phi^{\text{inert}}(t,\vec{x}) &= \exp\{-imc^2 t/\hbar\} \, \psi^{\text{inert}}(t,\vec{x}) \\ \phi^{\text{acc}}(\tau,\vec{\xi}) &= \exp\{-imc^2 \tau/\hbar\} \, \psi^{\text{acc}}(\tau,\vec{\xi}) \,, \end{split}$$

Hence (compare (48))

$$\psi^{\mathrm{acc}}(\tau,\vec{\xi}) = \exp\left\{-imc^2 \left(t-\tau\right)/\hbar\right\} \psi^{\mathrm{inert}}(t,\vec{x}).$$
(58)

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

# SNE

(54)

(56)

(57)

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses

# Bound states and collapse: Naive estimates

• Using the total energy E = T + V, with

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int d^3x \, \|\nabla\Psi(\vec{x})\|^2 - \frac{Gm^2}{2} \int d^3x \, \int d^3y \, \frac{|\Psi(\vec{x})|^2 \, |\Psi(\vec{y})|^2}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\|}.$$
 (59)

we can express to second time-derivative of the second moment of  $|\Psi|$ :

$$\ddot{Q} = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int \|\vec{x}\|^2 \, |\Psi(t,\vec{x})|^2 \, d^3x = \frac{1}{m} \, 2(2E - V) \,. \tag{60}$$

showing that  $\ddot{Q} < 0$  implies E < 0 (note V < 0).

A spherically symmetric Gaussian of width a:

$$\Psi(r,t=0) = (\pi a^2)^{-3/4} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2a^2}\right)$$
(61)

has

$$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^4} - \frac{2\,G\,m^2}{\sqrt{\pi}\,a^3}\,\sinh^{-1}(1) \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{2ma^4} - \frac{G\,m^2}{a^3}\,,\tag{62}$$

so that E < 0 is equivalent to

$$m^{3}a > \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2G} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{\hbar G}{c^{3}}\right)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}m_{P}^{3}\ell_{P}.$$
 (63)

For a = 500 nm this gives  $m > 3.3 \times 10^9 \text{ u}$ 

SNE

D. Giulini

#### Motivation

- Carlip 2006
- Schrödinger
- Penrose

#### What's known

- COW & Co.
- EEP
- uff a theorem

### SNE

- as non-rel. limit
- dimensionless
- symmetries
- collapse
- stationary states
- generalisation
- multi particle
- separation
- approximation
- consequences

#### Summary

- UFF and UGR
- KG inertial
- KG accelerating
- collapses