Chaos of planetary Motion Ramin Javadi and Felix Schrader

INCEPTION





History

- planets describe ellipses around the Sun.
- 1687: Newton announced the Law of universal gravitation.
- in the planets semi-major axes stay stable.
- 1892 to 1899: Poincaré said that eccentricity and inclination are

• 1609 to 1618: Kepler fixed the Planet's trajectories: He showed that the

18th century: Laplace and Lagrange calculated that, long-term variations

unstable and there can be chaos. He used Hamilton-Jacobi-Theory and found out, that the equations of motion are not solvable analytically.

Eccentricity e: describes how "elliptical "the orbit is.



i is called inclination

- 1950s and 60s: the mathematicians Kolmogorov and Arnold, took up Poincaré's work and showed, that for orbits stay stable.
- Because the equations of motion cannot be solved included).

irrational ratios of the angular velocities in phase space the

analytically, it is nowadays usual to use numerical methods (sometimes the relativistic corrections for the moon are also

initial conditions are very important as the motion is often chaotic

e.g. 1994 and 2008: Laskar calculated the evolution of Solar System for different initial conditions

- a) the Solar System remains stable
- b) Mercury and Venus collide (1% chance)
- c) Earth and Mars collide
- d) Earth and Venus collide



- 2 orbiting bodies exerting periodic gravitational forces on each other
- occur when orbital periods are related by a ratio of two small integers
- inclination)
- can act on timescales between orbital periods and 10⁴ to 10⁶ years
- can either stabilize or destabilize the orbits

Orbital resonance

• may have results for one or any combination of orbital parameters (e.g. eccentricity

- Orbit of Pluto is stable despite crossing the orbit of Neptune due to a 2:3 resonance causing Neptune to be far away (Stabilization)
- Cassini-Division in the rings of Saturn caused by 2:1 resonance with moon Mimas (Destabilization)
- Laplace-Resonance: three or more bodies resonance (e.g. Ganymede, Europa, Io(moons of Jupiter) in 1:2:4 resonance)
- Secular resonance: precession (usually of perihelion or ascending node) of two orbits is synchronized
- Kozai-Resonance: inclination and eccentricity oscillate synchronously (while inclination is increasing, eccentricity decreases and vice versa)





- 2:1 resonance of lo Europa
- orbital period of Io / Europa: $1.769\,d$ / $3.551\,d$

• but
$$\frac{360^{\circ}}{1.769 \,\mathrm{d}} - 2 \cdot \frac{360^{\circ}}{3.551 \,\mathrm{d}} \approx 0.7$$

- calculation does not contain precession of the orbits, correct formula would be $2n_{Eu} n_{Io} \omega_{Io} = 0$ as lo's orbit precesses
- in some cases the calculation has to be expanded further as the point of conjunction (where the three bodies build a line) can oscillate, too

 $745^{\circ} \mathrm{d}^{-1} \neq 0$



Kozai-Resonance

- two big bodies, a small mass orbiting one of the large bodies, the second body's gravitational force is small because of the distance
- average the gravitational potential of the companion over orbital periods
- after averaging the potential is constant, so the energy is conserved

• thus
$$a = -\frac{\gamma \cdot \mu}{2 \cdot E} = const.$$

- if the distant body orbits circular, the potential is axisymmetric, so $L_{\rm z}$ is conserved

$$L_z = \overrightarrow{e_\omega} \cdot \overrightarrow{L}, \quad e = \sqrt{1 - L^2} \quad \text{having set} \quad \gamma = \mu = -E = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow L = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \Rightarrow L_z = \sqrt{1 - e^2} \cdot \cos i$$

- and vice versa
- letting the orbit stable (e and i remain constant, but the orbit precesses)
- and e and i oscillate remaining $\sqrt{1-e^2} \cos i$ conserved
- bodies, only the period is affected by these parameters

• it turns out, that $L_z \sim \sqrt{1-e^2} \cos i$ conserved even for elliptical orbits

• as $\sqrt{1-e^2} \cos i = \cos i$ an increase of e results in a decrease of i

• there is a limit for the initial inclination (for circular orbits e=0 39.2°)

• for larger initial inclinations the argument of perihelion oscillates around 90°

the amplitude of oscillation is independent of mass and separation of the



- leading to tidal dissipation or collision
- orbits) only exist at small inclinations

highly inclined orbits can become very eccentric,

irregular satellites (small bodies in far and eccentric

Tidal force

- secondary effect of the force of gravity.

$$\overrightarrow{F}_g = -\hat{r} G \frac{Mm}{R^2} \qquad ; \qquad \overrightarrow{a}_g = -\hat{r} G$$

•
$$\overrightarrow{a_g} = -\hat{r} G \frac{M}{(R \pm \Delta r)^2}$$
, M

•
$$\overrightarrow{a_g} = -\hat{r} G \frac{M}{R^2} \frac{1}{(1 \pm \Delta r/R)^2}$$
, N

• the gravitational force exerted by one body on another is not constant across it.

 $\frac{M}{R^2}$

I is itself a sphere of radius Δr

Maclaurin series: $1/(1\pm x)^2 = 1\mp 2x + 3x^2\mp ...$

(a) $\overrightarrow{a}_{g} = -\hat{r} G \frac{M}{R^{2}} \pm \hat{r} G \frac{2M}{R^{2}} \frac{\Delta r}{R} + \dots$

(b) \overrightarrow{a}_{t} (axial) $\approx \hat{r} 2\Delta r G \frac{M}{R^{3}}$



- this leads to Tidal locking
- because of the Moment of Force
 - $\omega = \Omega$ and the Moon is "locked" to Earth
- we see only one side of the Moon







• $M \gg m$: as is the case between Earth and Moon

• $M \simeq m$: as is the case between Pluto and Charon











Lagrangian Points

- appear in Circular Restricted Three-Body Problem
- three masses $m_1, m_2 \gg m_3, m_1$ and m_2 orbit circular in x,y-plane
- distance between m_1 and m_2 , R=1, GM=1, $\mu_1=Gm_1$, $\mu_2=Gm_2=1$ μ_1 , distance from m_3 to m_1, m_2 is ρ_1, ρ_2
- $\vec{r} + 2\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r} = -\nabla U(\vec{r})$ with the effective potential

$$U(\vec{r}) = -\frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1} - \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_2} - \frac{\omega^2}{2} \left(x^2 + y^2\right)$$

• points where $\dot{\vec{r}} = \dot{\vec{r}} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla U = 0$ in co-rotating frame are called Lagrange Points

•
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial z} = \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1^3} + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_2^3}\right) z = 0 \Leftrightarrow z = 0$$
 show

• by rewriting $U = -\mu_1 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{\rho_1^2}{2} \right) - \mu_2$ and the same for $\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}$

•
$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} = \left(\mu_1 \frac{1 - \rho_1^3}{\rho_1^3} + \mu_2 \frac{1 - \rho_2^3}{\rho_2^3}\right) y = 0$$
 k
Lagrangian Points on the x-axis

• $\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = 0$ is more complicated to solve, but there are the approximations

 $x_1 \approx \mu_1 - \alpha, \quad x_2 \approx \mu_1 + \alpha, \quad x_3 \approx \mu_1$

vs that the Lagrangian Points lie in x,y-plane

$$\mu_2 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2} + \frac{\rho_2^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2}{2} \quad \text{we see } \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho_1} \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho_2} \frac{\partial \rho_2}{\partial x}$$

has the obvious solution y=0, so there are S

$$_{1} - 2 + 7\alpha^{3}/4$$
 with $\alpha = \left(\frac{\mu_{2}}{3\mu_{1}}\right)^{1/3}$

- solutions of $\frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho_1} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \rho_2} = 0$ • this means $\mu_1 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1^2} - \rho_1\right) =$
- possible solutions are $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 1$
- this defines an equilateral triangle
- coordinates are $x_{4,5} = \frac{1}{2}$

to find Lagrangian Points not lying on x-axis we search for

$$= \mu_2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\rho_2^2} - \rho_2\right) = 0$$

$$y_{4,5} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$$







Stability of Lagrangian Points • $\left(\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial z^2}\right)\Big|_{z=0} = \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1^3} + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_2^3} > 0$, so all Lagrangian Points are stable to small displacements to the z-axis

- now consider small displacements on the x- and y-axis $x = x_0 + \delta x$ $y = y_0 + \delta y$
- expand $U(\vec{r})$ as a Taylor Series $U = U_0 + \frac{1}{2}U_{xx}(\delta x)^2 + U_{xy}\delta x \delta y + \frac{1}{2}U_{yy}(\delta y)^2$ ($U_x = U_y = 0$ at a Lagrangian Point)
- substituting this into the equations of motion yields $\delta \ddot{x} - 2\delta \dot{y} = -U_{xx}\delta x - U_{xy}\delta y$ $\delta \ddot{y} + 2\delta \dot{x} = -U_{xy}\delta x - U_{yy}\delta y$ having set $\omega = 1$

- Ansatz: $\delta x(t) = \delta x_0 \cdot$
- this yields $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 + U_{xx} - 2\gamma + U_{xy} \\ 2\gamma + U_{xy} & \gamma^2 + U_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \cdot (\delta x_0)$
- solutions only exist for det=0
- stability criterion: γ is purely imaginary

$$e^{\gamma t} \quad \delta y(t) = \delta y_0 \cdot e^{\gamma t}$$

$$_0 \delta y_0 = (0 0)$$

- for L₁, L₂, L₃ this means $\frac{8}{9} \leq \frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1^3} + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_2^3} < 1$, but at L₁, L₂, L₃ $\frac{\mu_1}{\rho_1^3} + \frac{\mu_2}{\rho_2^3} > 1$ so L₁, L₂ and L₃ are unstable
- L₁ (in Earth-Sun-System) is often used to observe the Sun
- L₂ for space-based observatories \bullet
- L_4 and L_5 contain interplanetary dust

• for L₄ and L₅ the stability criterion is $\mu_2 < 0.04$ which means that the smaller mass is less then about 4% of the bigger mass as $\mu_2 = \frac{m_2}{m_1 + m_2}$







- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orbital_Resonance
- <u>http://www.sns.ias.edu/ckfinder/userfiles/files/ipmu%2520kozai.pdf</u>
- <u>http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Stability_of_the_solar_system</u>
- http://farside.ph.utexas.edu/teaching/336k/Newtonhtml/node118.html
- <u>https://www.cfa.harvard.edu/~snaoz/Dynamics.html</u>
- http://agorrery.blogspot.de/2010/10/blog-week-3-orbital-elements-and.html
- http://sci2.tv/#!/index
- <u>http://www.sunflowercosmos.org/astronomy/great_discoveries_images/1600_cassini_division.jpg</u>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Orbital_inclination
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lagrangian_point</u>
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tidal_locking</u>
- <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tidal_force</u>
- https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lagrangian_point
- <u>https://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charon_(Mond)</u>
- https://en.wikipedia.org wiki/Genesis_(spacecraft)
- sion_pages/genesis/media/jpl-release-071702.html http://www.nasa.gov/m
- Keynote, iMovie

