

Sheet 12: Solutions

Problem 1

In Lecture 20 we derived the following exact expression for the binding energy of a homogeneous, spherically symmetric star made out of incompressible matter

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-\Delta E_{\text{Bind}}}{M c^2} &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{R}{r_s} \right)^{3/2} \left[\sin^{-1} \left(\frac{r_s}{R} \right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{r_s}{R} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{R} \right)^{1/2} \right] - 1 \\ &= \frac{3}{10} \frac{r_s}{R} + \frac{9}{56} \left(\frac{r_s}{R} \right)^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Here R = area-radius of star
 M = gravitational mass of star
 $r_s = 2GM/c^2$

The Newtonian value is just the first term - $3 r_s / (10 R)$ - in the expansion.

For a neutron star

$$R = 10 \text{ km}$$

$$M = 1.5 M_{\odot} = 3 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ kg}$$

$$r_s = 4.45 \cdot 10^3 \text{ m} = 4.45 \text{ km}$$

Hence $\frac{R}{r_s} = 2.25$

$$\frac{r_s}{R} = 4.45 \cdot 10^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-\Delta E_{\text{bind}}}{Mc^2} \cong 0.178976$$

The Newtonian value is

$$\frac{-\Delta E_{\text{bind}}}{Mc^2} \Big|_{\text{Newton}} \approx \frac{3}{10} 4.45 \cdot 10^{-1} = 0.1335$$

So, from the Newtonian point of view, we must increase the Newtonian value by

$$\frac{0.178976 - 0.1335}{0.1335} \times 100 = 34\%$$

to get the GR-value. Even if we took the 2nd order approximation we would still underestimate by 8.25%.

Problem 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 g &= e^{2a} c dt \otimes c dt \\
 &\quad - e^{2b} dt \otimes dt \\
 &\quad - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2(\theta) d\varphi \otimes d\varphi)
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} g \\ &\quad - e^{2b} dt \otimes dt \\ &\quad - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2(\theta) d\varphi \otimes d\varphi) \end{aligned}} \right\} (12.2.1)$$

with

$$e^{2a} = e^{-2b} = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{q^2}{r^2} \quad (12.2.2)$$

The curvature components for a metric of the form (12.2.1) are listed in Lecture 17, eqns. (17.28). Since here $\dot{b} = 0$ and $a' = -b'$, the only non-vanishing components are:

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{0101} &= -e^{-2b} (-b'' + 2b'^2) \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} (e^{-2b})'' \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{4m}{r^3} + 6 \frac{q^2}{r^4} \right) = \left(\frac{2m}{r^3} - 3 \frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \quad (12.2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{0202} &= R_{0303} = -R_{1212} = -R_{1313} \\
 &= \frac{1}{r} e^{-2b} = -\frac{1}{2r} (e^{-2b})' \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2m}{r^3} - \frac{2q^2}{r^4} \right) = \left(-\frac{m}{r^3} + \frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)
 \end{aligned}
 \quad (12.2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{2323} &= -\frac{1}{r^2} (1 - e^{-2b}) \\
 &= -\frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{q^2}{r^4} \quad (12.2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

The Kretschmann-Scalar is

$$\begin{aligned}
 K &= R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} \\
 &= 4 \left(R_{0101}^2 + R_{0202}^2 + R_{0303}^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + R_{1212}^2 + R_{1313}^2 + R_{2323}^2 \right) \\
 &= 4 \left(R_{0101}^2 + 4 R_{0202}^2 + R_{2323}^2 \right) \quad (12.2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

(Since $R_{0202} = R_{0303} = -R_{1212} = -R_{1313}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 K &= 4 \left\{ \left(\frac{2m}{r^3} - 3 \frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)^2 + 4 \left(\frac{m}{r^3} - \frac{q}{r^4} \right)^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{2m}{r^3} - \frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= 4 \left\{ 12 \left(\frac{m}{r^3} \right)^2 - 24 \frac{mq^2}{r^7} + 14 \left(\frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= 48 \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{r^3} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{mq^2}{r^7} + \frac{7}{6} \left(\frac{q^2}{r^4} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &= 48 \left(\frac{m}{r^3} \right)^2 \left\{ 1 - 2 \frac{q}{m} \frac{q}{r} + \frac{7}{6} \left(\frac{q}{m} \right)^2 \left(\frac{q}{r} \right)^2 \right\} \\
 &\quad (12.2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

The Kretschmann scalar has been computed for Kerr-Newman by

Richard Conn Henry:

"Kretschmann scalar for a
Kerr-Newman Black-Hole"

The Astrophysical Journal, 535: 350-353
May 2020.

The result is:

$$K = \frac{8}{(\tau^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta)^6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times [6m^2 (\tau^6 - 15a^2 \tau^4 \cos^2 \theta + 15a^4 \tau^2 \cos^4 \theta - a^6 \cos^6 \theta) \\ & - 12m q^2 \tau (\tau^4 - 10a^2 \tau^2 \cos^2 \theta + 5a^4 \cos^4 \theta) \\ & + q^4 (7\tau^4 - 34a^2 \tau^2 \cos^2 \theta + 7a^4 \cos^4 \theta)] \end{aligned} \quad (12.2.8)$$

Which for $a = 0$ just gives our
expression (12.2.7).

Problem 3

m , q and a are related to the physical quantities mass M , charge Q and angular momentum J as follows:

$$m = \frac{GM}{c^2} \quad (12.3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \left(\frac{G}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{c^2} Q \\ &= \left(\frac{G}{c^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \right)^{1/2} Q \end{aligned} \quad (12.3.2)$$

$$a = J/Mc \quad (12.3.3)$$

where we used $\mu_0 \epsilon_0 = c^{-2}$ in the expression for q .

We recall a few constants:

- Fine structure

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{e^2 c}{\hbar} = (137.036)^{-1} \quad (12.3.4)$$

- Planck mass and length

$$m_p = (\hbar c / G)^{1/2} = 2.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kg} \quad (12.3.5)$$

$$l_p = (\hbar G / c^3)^{1/2} = 1.6 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m} \quad (12.3.6)$$

• Reduced Compton - wavelength of electron

$$\lambda_e = \frac{h}{m_e c} = 3.86 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m} \quad (12.3.7)$$

• Classical electron radius

$$\begin{aligned} r_e &= \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{e^2}{m_e c^2} \\ &= \frac{d h c}{m_e c^2} = \lambda_e \alpha \quad (12.3.8) \end{aligned}$$

The ratio q/m can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q}{m} &= \left(\frac{G}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{c^2} Q \bigg/ \frac{G M}{c^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{Q^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{1}{M} \\ &= \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{|Q|}{e} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{1}{M} \\ &= \sqrt{d} \left(\frac{h c}{G} \right)^{1/2} \frac{|Q|}{e} \frac{1}{M} \\ &= \sqrt{d} \frac{|Q|/e}{M/m_p} \\ &= \left(\frac{m_p}{M} \right) \frac{|Q|}{e} \sqrt{d} \quad (12.3.9) \end{aligned}$$

So q/m is $\sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{1}{11.7}$ times the quotient of Q in units of e and M in units of m_p . For the electron $|Q|/e = 1$ and

$$\frac{m_e}{m_p} = \frac{9.1 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg}}{2.2 \times 10^{-27} \text{ kg}} = 4.1 \times 10^{-23} \quad (12.3.10)$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{q}{m}\right)_{\text{electron}} \approx 2 \times 10^{21} \quad \nabla \quad (12.3.11)$$

Similarly for the ratio a/m

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{m} &= \frac{\gamma}{Mc} \frac{c^2}{GM} = \frac{\gamma}{\hbar} \frac{\hbar c}{GM^2} \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\hbar} \cdot \left(\frac{m_p}{M}\right)^2 \quad (12.3.12) \end{aligned}$$

For the electron $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \hbar$ and $M = m_e$ with m_e/m_p as above:

$$\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)_{\text{electron}} = \frac{1}{2} 2.9 \times 10^{44} \quad \nabla \nabla \quad (12.3.13)$$

Generally

$$\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + q^2}}{m} = \left(\frac{m_p}{M}\right) \left\{ \left(\frac{\gamma}{\hbar} \frac{m_p}{M}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{|Q|}{e}\right)^2 \alpha \right\}^{1/2} \quad (12.3.14)$$

Problem 4

Assume a homogeneous spherical ball of radius R . Its moment of inertia is

$$I = \frac{2}{5} MR^2 \quad (12.4.1)$$

its angular momentum

$$J = I\omega = \frac{2}{5} MR^2 \omega = \frac{2}{5} MR^2 \frac{2\pi}{T} \quad (12.4.2)$$

$T = \text{period}$.

Hence, using $\tau_s = 2GM/c^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q}{M} &= \frac{J}{Mc} \frac{c^2}{GM} = \frac{Jc}{GM^2} = \frac{2}{5} MR^2 \omega \frac{c}{GM^2} \\ &= \frac{4}{5} \left(\frac{R\omega}{c} \right) / \left(\frac{\tau_s}{R} \right) \quad (12.4.3) \end{aligned}$$

SR-effect \longleftrightarrow GR-effect

Which one wins?

	Earth	Jupiter	Sun
$R[m]$	6.378×10^6	7×10^7	6.96×10^8
$\omega[S^{-1}]$	7.3×10^{-5}	1.76×10^{-4}	$\approx 3 \times 10^{-6}$
$r_s[m]$	8.87×10^3	2.82	2.95×10^3
$\frac{\omega R}{c}$	1.55×10^{-6}	4.1×10^{-5}	6.96×10^{-6}
r_s/R	1.4×10^{-3}	3.26×10^{-2}	4.2×10^{-6}
a/m	8.86×10^2	1×10^3	1.33

(12.4.7)

Only the Sun comes close to $a/m = 1$, perhaps even below 1 since the mean angular velocity has likely been over-estimated.

Problem 5

Heisenberg - microscope heuristics:

"In order to localize a system with resolution better than Δl need photon of wavelength

$$\lambda / 2 < \Delta l \quad (12.5.1)$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} > \frac{1}{2\Delta l}$$

$$E = h \frac{c}{\lambda} > \frac{hc}{2\Delta l} \quad (12.5.2)$$

For that to be smaller than $2Mc^2$ (in order not to produce particle-anti-particle pairs) must have

$$2Mc^2 > E > \frac{hc}{2\Delta l} \quad (12.5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or } \Delta l > \frac{hc}{4Mc} &= \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{h}{Mc} \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda \quad (12.5.4) \end{aligned}$$

So, roughly and heuristically, the reduced Compton wavelength λ is the distance below which a single "system"

cannot be localised without "destroying" the "system" in the sense of leaving the "one-system-sector". In order to speak of the horizon of a single black hole, one should clearly stay below the pair-production threshold, i.e.

$$r_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2} > \frac{\pi}{2} \lambda = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\hbar}{Mc} \quad (12.5.5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow M^2 > \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{\hbar c}{G}$$

$$\text{or } M > \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} m_p \cong m_p \quad (12.5.6)$$

$$m_p = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{G} \right)^{1/2} = 2.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ Kg} \\ = 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

→ Black holes of mass below the Planck mass have Compton wavelength larger than horizon size. In that range, the notion of horizon makes no sense of a classically localisable geometric entity.

Another way to phrase this is to say that a photon that localises a volume l should not produce a black hole with $r_s > l$, i.e. we want

$$r_s = 2 \frac{GM}{c^2} < l \quad (12.5.7)$$

where

$$M = \frac{E}{c^2} = \frac{h\nu}{c^2} = \frac{h}{c\lambda} \quad (12.5.8)$$

$$\text{with } \lambda = 2l \quad (12.5.9)$$

(half a wavelength fits into l)

$$2 \frac{G}{c^2} \frac{h}{c2l} < l$$

$$\Leftrightarrow l > \left(\frac{Gh}{c^3} \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2\pi} \left(\frac{Gh}{c^3} \right)^{1/2} \\ = \sqrt{2\pi} l_p \quad (12.5.10)$$

$$\text{where } l_p = 1.6 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}$$

\Rightarrow Resolutions below the Planck length need photons the energy of which will turn the measured volume into a black hole, thus preventing the attempt for this resolution.

Finally, note the following interesting relation between the Schwarzschild radius and the Compton wavelength

$$\begin{aligned} r_s \cdot \lambda &= 2 \frac{GM}{c^2} \frac{h}{Mc} & (12.5.11) \\ &= 2 \frac{Gh}{c^3} = 2 \lambda_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } \lambda_p = 2^{-1/2} \sqrt{r_s \cdot \lambda} \quad (12.5.12)$$

⇒ The Planck length is (up to a factor $2^{-1/2}$) the geometric mean of the Schwarzschild radius and the (reduced) Compton wavelength of any mass.

Problem 6

A spherical shell of rest mass M_0 and (homogeneously distributed) charge Q has total energy

$$E = M_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{2R} - G \frac{M_g^2}{2R} \quad (12.6.1)$$

Here M_g denotes the gravitating mass. What mass should this be? Here we invoke the following principle:

$$\| \text{All energies gravitate according to} \|$$

$$\| E = M c^2. \|$$

Hence we set

$$M_g = E/c^2 \quad (12.6.2)$$

and obtain from (12.6.1) a quadratic equation for E , or simply $M := E/c^2$:

$$G \frac{M^2}{2R} + M c^2 - \left(M_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{Q^2}{2R} \right) = 0$$

$$M^2 + 2M \frac{RC^2}{G} - \frac{2R}{G} \left(M_0 c^2 + \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 R} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow M = - \frac{RC^2}{G} + \left\{ \left(\frac{RC^2}{G} \right)^2 + \frac{2R}{G} \left(M_0 c^2 + \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 R} \right) \right\}^{1/2} \quad (12.6.3)$$

(Only positive root makes sense here)

$$M(R) =$$

$$\frac{Rc^2}{G} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{2G}{c^2 R} \left(M_0 + \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 R} \right) \right]^{1/2} - 1 \right\}$$

$$= \frac{Rc^2}{G} \left\{ \left[1 + \frac{\tau_s}{R} \left(1 + \frac{\tau_Q}{R} \right) \right]^{1/2} - 1 \right\} \quad (12.6.4)$$

$$\text{where } \tau_s = \frac{2GM_0}{c^2}$$

$$\tau_Q = \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 M_0 c^2}$$

$$\left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} \tau_s \\ \tau_Q \end{matrix}} \right\} (12.6.5)$$

The limit $R \rightarrow 0$ exists and is given by

$$M(0) = \frac{c^2}{G} (\tau_s \tau_Q)^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{c^2}{G} \left(\frac{2GM_0}{c^2} \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 M_0 c^2} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{|Q|}{\sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{G}}$$

$$= \frac{|Q|}{e} \sqrt{4\pi\epsilon_0 G}$$

$$= \sqrt{2} \frac{|Q|}{e} m_p \quad (12.6.6)$$

Comparison with (12.3.5) shows that mass and charge are such that

$$q/m = 1 \quad \nabla_0 \quad (12.6.7)$$

This is of course a huge mass;
 for $|Q| = e$ about 21 orders of
 magnitude larger than the electron
 mass (cf. (12.3.10)).

If we expand (12.6.4) for finite R
 in a power series in G we get

$$M(R) = a - \frac{a^2 x}{2} + \frac{a^3 x^2}{2} - \frac{5 a^4 x^3}{8} + \dots$$

$$a = M_0 + \frac{Q^2}{8\pi\epsilon_0 c^2 R}$$

$$x = \frac{G}{Rc^2}$$

(12.6.8)

which diverges at any order if $R \rightarrow 0$.