# Exercises for the lecture on Special Topics in GR & Relativistic Cosmology by DOMENICO GIULINI

#### Sheet 3

## Problem 1

Consider the FLRW metric in coordinates (compare Lecture 4, equations (4.30-31))

$$g = cdt \otimes cdt - a^{2}(t) \Big( d\chi \otimes d\chi + \Sigma^{2}(\chi) \big( d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^{2}(\theta) d\phi \otimes d\phi \big) \Big), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Sigma(\chi) = \begin{cases} \sin(\chi) & \text{for } k = 1 \\ \chi & \text{for } k = 0 \\ \sinh(\chi) & \text{for } k = -1 . \end{cases}$$
(2)

We are interested in the timelike geodesics.

Write down the Euler-Lagrange equation for the energy functional.

Prove that "radial" initial conditions with vanishing  $\dot{\theta}$  and  $\dot{\phi}$  lead to constant values (equal to the initial ones) for  $(\theta, \phi)$ ; that is, the motion stays radial.

Argue why this solves the most general geodesic motion, even though the initial conditions seem special.

Prove that

$$pa = const., \qquad (3)$$

along the geodesic, where  $p = p(\lambda)$  is the particle's 3-momentum with respect to the local observer defined by the cosmological four-velocity  $u = \partial/\partial t$ .

How do you interpret (3)? Does it violate momentum conservation in an expanding universe?

## Problem 2

Consider the energy-momentum tensor of a perfect fluid by

$$T = (\rho + p/c^2)u \otimes u - g^{-1}p \tag{4}$$

or in components

$$T^{\alpha\beta} = (\rho + p/c^2)u^{\alpha}u^{\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta}p.$$
 (5)

Here  $g^{-1}$  is the inverse metric, u the four-velocity of the fluid,  $\rho$  its rest-mass-density, and p its pressure in its rest frame. The metric is that of a FLRW spacetime:

$$g = dx^0 \otimes dx^0 - a^2(x^0)\hat{g}, \qquad (6)$$

where  $\hat{g}$  is of constant curvature  $k \in \{1, -1, 0\}$ . Calculate its covariant divergence  $\nabla \cdot T$  (in components  $\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\alpha\beta}$ ) and express it in a an orthonormal basis  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  (dual basis  $\{\theta^{\alpha}\}$ ) with respect to g in which  $e_0 = u/c$ . Recall that for that basis we explicitly calculated the connection 1-forms Lecture 4. For us here only the result  $\omega^{\alpha}_{0} = (\alpha_{,0}/\alpha)\theta^{\alpha}$  will be needed, where  $\alpha_{,0} = e_0(\alpha) = \partial \alpha/\partial x^0$ . Alternatively, you can use the coordinate form using the Christoffel symbols that we calculated in Lecture 5. (You may convince yourself that the index-free calculation is shorter.)

Prove that the vanishing of the covariant divergence of (4) in the metric (6) is equivalent to

$$e_0(\rho a^3) + (p/c^2)e_0(a^3) = 0$$
 and  $e_a(p) = 0$ . (7)

Note that since  $e_0 = \partial/\partial x^0$  this is equivalent to

$$(\rho a^3)^{\cdot} + (p/c^2)(a^3)^{\cdot} = 0 \text{ and } p = p(t).$$
 (8)

It is interesting to note that the spatial constancy of  $\rho$  is *not* implied by these conditions.

#### **Problem 3**

Consider two metrics g and  $\tilde{g}$  on space-time M which are conformally equivalent. This means that there is a positive function  $a: M \to \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$g = a^2 \tilde{g} \,. \tag{9}$$

Let  $x^{\alpha}$  be a local coordinate system with respect to which we express the components  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  and  $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$  and their respective Christoffel symbols. Show that they are related by

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} + \left( -\tilde{g}^{\alpha\lambda}\tilde{g}_{\beta\gamma}\frac{a_{,\lambda}}{a} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}\frac{a_{,\gamma}}{a} + \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}\frac{a_{,\beta}}{a} \right)$$
(10)

Here we write as usual  $a_{,\alpha} := \partial a / \partial x^{\alpha}$ , etc.

Let  $z : \mathbb{R} \supseteq I \to M$  be a lightlike geodesic on (M, g). Show that the geodesic equation for its coordinate representation  $z^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} \circ z$ ,  $\lambda \mapsto z^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ , is equivalent to

$$\ddot{z}^{\alpha} + \left(\tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \circ z\right) \dot{z}^{\beta} \, \dot{z}^{\beta} = -2 \frac{(\mathfrak{a} \circ z)^{\cdot}}{(\mathfrak{a} \circ z)} \dot{z}^{\alpha} \,. \tag{11}$$

Now consider a reparametrisation diffeomorphism

$$f: \mathbb{R} \supseteq I \to I' \subseteq \mathbb{R}$$
<sup>(12)</sup>

and the corresponding reparametrised curve

$$\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{z} \circ \mathbf{f}^{-1} \,. \tag{13}$$

Show that (11) is equivalent to

$$\ddot{\mathbf{y}}^{\alpha} + \left(\tilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \circ \mathbf{y}\right) \dot{\mathbf{y}}^{\beta} \, \dot{\mathbf{y}}^{\beta} = \dot{\mathbf{y}}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{\dot{\mathbf{h}}}{\dot{\mathbf{h}}} - 2\frac{(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{y})}{(\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{y})}\right],\tag{14}$$

where  $h := f^{-1}$ .

### **Problem 4**

Use the results of Problem 2 to prove the following theorem: The curve z is a lightlike geodesic for (M, g) if and only if the reparametrised curve  $y = z \circ f^{-1}$  is a lightlike geodesic for  $(M, \tilde{g})$  where the reparametrisation map  $f : I \ni \lambda \mapsto \tilde{\lambda} := f(\lambda) \in \tilde{I}$  and its inverse  $h := f^{-1} : \tilde{I} \ni \tilde{\lambda} \mapsto \lambda := h(\tilde{\lambda}) \in I$  satisfie any of the two equivalent conditions (show the equivlence):

$$\frac{\ddot{f}}{\dot{f}} = -2\frac{(a \circ z)}{(a \circ z)} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \frac{\ddot{h}}{\dot{h}} = 2\frac{(a \circ y)}{(a \circ y)}.$$
(15)

which in turn are are equivalent to

$$\tilde{\lambda} = f(\lambda) = C \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda'}{a^2(z(\lambda'))} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \lambda = h(\tilde{\lambda}) = \tilde{C} \int_{\tilde{\lambda}_0}^{\tilde{\lambda}} d\tilde{\lambda}' a^2(y(\tilde{\lambda}')) .$$
(16)

## Problem 5

We now apply the foregoing "warped-product" metrics of FLRW form

$$g = dx^0 \otimes dx^0 - a^2(x^0)\hat{g}_{ab} dx^a \otimes dx^b, \qquad (17)$$

where  $\hat{g}$  is independent of  $x^0$  and not necessarily of constant curvature (hence this class is more general than FLRW).

We write this in the form (9) with

$$\tilde{g} = d\eta \otimes d\eta - \hat{g}, \qquad (18)$$

where the coordinate  $\eta$  is defined in terms of the coordinate  $x^0$  by

$$\eta(x^{0}) = \int_{c}^{x^{0}} \frac{dx'^{0}}{a(x'^{0})}$$
(19)

and is called the conformal time.

Show that the geodesics of  $\tilde{g}$  are given by  $\tilde{\lambda} \mapsto (\eta(\tilde{\lambda}), \vec{x}(\tilde{\lambda}))$ , where  $\eta(\tilde{\lambda}) = a\tilde{\lambda} + b$ and  $\vec{x}(\tilde{\lambda})$  is a geodesic of  $\hat{g}$ . Hence for  $a \neq 0$  we conclude that along such a geodesic  $\eta$ grows proportional to the affine parameter, i.e. is itself an affine parameter. Moreover, if the geodesic is lightlike this parameter is affinely equivalent to the proper length in  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$  (compare Lecture 5).

Use this and the second equation in (16) to derive the following expression for the value of the affine parameter  $\lambda$  along a lightlike geodesic  $\lambda \mapsto (x^0(\lambda), \vec{x}(\lambda))$  at the instant it hits the constant-time hypersurface  $x^0$ :

$$\lambda(x^{0}) = C \int_{k}^{x^{0}} dx'^{0} a(x'^{0}) .$$
 (20)