

Exercises for the lecture on
Special Topics in GR & Relativistic Cosmology
 by DOMENICO GIULINI

Sheet 6

Problem 1

We recall the “middle” Friedmann equation from Lecture 6 (formula (6.16b) in the manuscript)

$$\dot{a}^2(t) = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho(t)a^2(t) + \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3}a^2(t) - kc^2. \quad (1)$$

Like we did for time $t = t_0$, we introduce the following Ω -parameters, now for at any time t so that they become functions of t :

$$\Omega_m(t) := \frac{8\pi G\rho(t)}{3H^2(t)}, \quad (2a)$$

$$\Omega_\Lambda(t) := \frac{\Lambda c^2}{3H^2(t)}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\Omega_k(t) := \frac{-kc^2}{H^2(t)a^2(t)}. \quad (2c)$$

Equation (1) than merely says that the sum of these equals 1. We also have $\rho = \rho_{\text{rad}} + \rho_{\text{dust}}$, with $\rho_{\text{rad}}a^4 = \text{const.}$ and $\rho_{\text{dust}}a^3 = \text{const.}$

Derive the following formulae relating the Ω parameter at time t , when the scale-factor is $a(t)$, to those that time t_0 , when the scale-factor is $a(t_0)$. In what follows we write Ω for $\Omega(t)$, Ω^0 for $\Omega(t_0)$, a for $a(t)$, and a_0 for $a(t_0)$.

$$\Omega_{\text{rad}} = \frac{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0 + \Omega_{\text{dust}}^0 \cdot (a/a_0) + \Omega_k^0 \cdot (a/a_0)^2 + \Omega_\Lambda^0 \cdot (a/a_0)^4}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\Omega_{\text{dust}} = \frac{\Omega_{\text{dust}}^0}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0 \cdot (a_0/a) + \Omega_{\text{dust}}^0 + \Omega_k^0 \cdot (a/a_0) + \Omega_\Lambda^0 \cdot (a/a_0)^3}, \quad (3b)$$

$$\Omega_k = \frac{\Omega_k^0}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0 \cdot (a_0/a)^2 + \Omega_{\text{dust}}^0 \cdot (a_0/a) + \Omega_k^0 + \Omega_\Lambda^0 \cdot (a/a_0)^2}, \quad (3c)$$

$$\Omega_\Lambda = \frac{\Omega_\Lambda^0}{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0 \cdot (a_0/a)^4 + \Omega_{\text{dust}}^0 \cdot (a_0/a)^3 + \Omega_k^0 \cdot (a_0/a)^2 + \Omega_\Lambda^0}. \quad (3d)$$

Problem 2

In Lecture 10 we mentioned the Plack-Mass and Planck-Langth. Together with the Planck-Time and Planck-Temperature, they form what is known as the “Planck-Units”

$$m_p := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}} = 2.176 \times 10^{-8} \text{ kg} = 1.221 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}/c^2, \quad (4a)$$

$$\ell_p := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^3}} = 1.616 \times 10^{-35} \text{ m}, \quad (4b)$$

$$t_p := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar G}{c^5}} = 5.391 \times 10^{-44} \text{ s}, \quad (4c)$$

$$T_p := \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G k_B^2}} = 1.4168 \times 10^{32} \text{ K}. \quad (4d)$$

Note that with a Hubble-Radius

$$R_H := c/H_0 = 13.7 \times 10^9 \text{ ly} = 1.3 \times 10^{26} \text{ m} \quad (5)$$

the geometric mean between the Hubble radius and the Planck-Length is $4.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}$, which is close to the average size of a human cell. Hence there are as many orders of magnitude between the size of the visible universe (Hubble-Radius) and the human cell as there are between the size of the cell and the Planck-Length.

Suppose the universe evolved from the Big-Bang at $t = 0$ to t_p according to our equations – clearly an outrageous hypothesis; but we make it anyway. Using equations (3), show that one may safely set $\Omega_{\text{rad}} = 1$ and forget about all other Ω s in order to describe the evolution during that period.

Use the Friedmann equation (1) to deduce that

$$\left(\frac{a_p}{a_0}\right)^2 = 2 \cdot \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0} \cdot H_0 \cdot t_p = 2 \cdot \sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0} \cdot \frac{\ell_p}{R_H} \quad (6)$$

Use this and (3c) to further derive:

$$\frac{\Omega_k(t_p)}{\Omega_k(t_0)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0}} \cdot \frac{\ell_p}{R_H}. \quad (7)$$

On Problem-Sheet 4, Problem 4, we saw that $\Omega_{\text{rad}}^0 = 8.25 \times 10^{-5} \approx 10^{-4}$. Hence (7) tells us that if $\Omega_k(t)$ is close to zero at $t = t_0$ (now) to some accuracy $\varepsilon \ll 1$, it must have been close to zero at the Planck-Time with an accuracy of 10^{-59} . This is, in more quantitative detail, the “flatness-problem” that we already mentioned in Lecture 10. Discuss: Why, precisely, is that considered to be a problem?

Problem 3

Suppose an exponential expansion

$$a(t) = a_0 \cdot \exp(H(t - t_0)). \quad (8)$$

How does Ω_k , defined in (2c), depend on t under that evolution? If (8) holds for $t < t_p$, how many e -folds are needed in order to suppress a generic initial Ω_k of order one by the needed factor of roughly 10^{-60} derived in the previous problem? (An “ e -fold” is the time needed to increase an exponentially growing quantity by a factor of e .)