

Exercises for the Lecture on  
**Theory of Fundamental Interactions**  
**(summer 2022)**

by DOMENICO GIULINI

**Sheet 5**

**Problem 1**

Consider the defining representation  $D^{(1)}$  of  $SO(3)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Take its tensor product  $D^{(1)} \otimes D^{(1)}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$  and show that the antisymmetric tensors, the symmetric-traceless tensors, and the tensors proportional to the euclidean metric (so-called “pure trace”) form invariant subspaces of dimensions 3, 5, and 1, respectively. Are these subspaces irreducible?

**Problem 2**

Let  $D^{(1)} : SO(3) \times V \rightarrow V$  be the defining representation of the rotation group on three-dimensional euclidean space  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $V^{n\otimes} = V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  be the  $n$ -fold tensor product,  $V_{(s)}^{n\otimes}$  its total symmetrisation, and  $V_{(s,t)}^{n\otimes}$  be the subspace in the latter of traceless tensors (the trace being defined via the euclidean inner product).

1. Prove that

$$\dim(V_{(s)}^{n\otimes}) = \frac{(n+2)!}{n! 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim(V_{(s,t)}^{n\otimes}) = 2n + 1 \quad (1)$$

2. Prove that the  $n$ -fold tensor product of the defining representation  $D^{(1)}$  acts irreducibly on  $V_{(s,t)}^{n\otimes}$ . [Hint: First show that it linearly maps traceless symmetric tensors into traceless symmetric tensors. Then show that it is a representation of weight  $n$  and conclude irreducibility from the argument in the lecture.]

**Problem 3**

We consider  $C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{C})$ , that is, the infinite-dimensional complex vector space of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions on the 2-sphere  $S^2 := \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \|\vec{x}\| = 1\}$ . The rotation group  $SO(3)$  acts on  $S^2$  via the defining representation on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . A rotation about the oriented axis  $\vec{n}$ , where  $\|\vec{n}\| = 1$ , and angle  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D(\vec{n}, \alpha)\vec{x} &= \vec{x}_{\parallel} + \cos(\alpha)\vec{x}_{\perp} + \sin(\alpha)\vec{n} \times \vec{x}_{\perp} \\ &= \vec{x} + (\cos(\alpha) - 1)\vec{x}_{\perp} + \sin(\alpha)\vec{n} \times \vec{x} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This action defines a linear representation of  $SO(3)$  on  $C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{C})$  via

$$T(\vec{n}, \alpha)\psi = \psi \circ D^{-1}(\vec{n}, \alpha) = \psi \circ D(\vec{n}, -\alpha). \quad (3)$$

The corresponding representation  $\dot{T}$  of  $\text{Lie}(SO(3))$  on  $C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{C})$  is obtained by considering curves  $D(\vec{n}(s), \alpha(s))$  with  $\vec{n}(0) = \vec{n}$ ,  $\alpha(0) = 0$  and  $\dot{\alpha}(0) = 1$ .

1. Show that  $\dot{T}$  is given by

$$\dot{T}_{\vec{n}}(\psi) = -\vec{n} \cdot (\vec{x} \times \vec{\nabla}\psi) \quad (4)$$

and check that

$$[\dot{T}_{\vec{n}}, \dot{T}_{\vec{m}}] = \dot{T}_{\vec{n} \times \vec{m}}. \quad (5)$$

Here  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{m}$  are considered as elements of  $\text{Lie}(SO(3))$  with  $\dot{D}(\vec{n})\vec{x} = \vec{n} \times \vec{x}$ .

2. Let  $\{\vec{e}_\alpha \mid \alpha = 1, 2, 3\}$  be an orthonormal Basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We define (compare Lecture)

$$J_\alpha := i \dot{T}_{\vec{e}_\alpha} \quad (6)$$

so that  $[J_\alpha, J_\beta] = i \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^c J_c$ . As in the Lecture we form  $J_\pm := J_1 \pm iJ_2$  and  $J^2 := J_1^2 + J_2^2 + J_3^2$ . Instead of  $\vec{x}$  with  $\|\vec{x}\| = 1$  we introduce spherical polar coordinates according to

$$x = \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad y = \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad z = \cos \theta. \quad (7)$$

Show that

$$J_\pm = e^{\pm i\varphi} \left( \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right), \quad (8a)$$

$$J_3 = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \quad (8b)$$

$$J^2 = - \left( \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right). \quad (8c)$$

3. Determine for each integer  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  the irreducible subspace  $V_\ell \subset C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{C})$  by first solving the differential equation  $J_+\psi_\ell = 0$  and then applying successively powers of  $J_-$ . The functions so obtained should be normalised in the  $L^2$ -norm on  $S^2$  with respect to the measure  $d\mu = \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi$ . Show that this leads to the  $2\ell + 1$  spherical harmonics  $Y_{\ell m}$  for fixed  $\ell$  and  $-\ell \leq m \leq \ell$ . These span the irreducible subspace of weight  $\ell$ .
4. We know from general theory that  $J^2 Y_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell + 1) Y_{\ell m}$ . Check this using (8c). (It is sufficient to give the explicit formulae for the  $Y_{\ell m}$  for  $\ell = 1$ .)

### Problem 4

Let  $V$  be a two-dimensional complex vector space and  $\bigvee^n V^* := \bigotimes_{(s)}^n V^*$  the  $n$ -fold totally symmetric tensor product of its dual space  $V^*$ .

Show that for any  $\Theta \in \bigvee^n V^*$  there exist  $n$  elements  $\phi^{(1)}, \dots, \phi^{(n)} \in V^*$ , so that  $\Theta = \phi^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee \phi^{(n)}$ . Here  $\vee$  denotes the symmetric tensor product. Further show that the  $\phi^{(k)}$  are uniquely determined up to permutation and rescaling  $\phi^{(k)} \mapsto \lambda^{(k)} \phi^{(k)}$ , where the  $\lambda^{(k)} \in \mathbb{C}$  obey  $\lambda^{(1)} \cdot \lambda^{(2)} \dots \lambda^{(n)} = 1$ . The  $\phi^{(k)}$  are called the *principal spinors* of  $\Theta$ .

Hint. You may assume the following Lemma: Let  $T \in \bigvee^n V^*$ ; then  $T = 0$  if and only if  $T(v, \dots, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . (If you wish to prove the Lemma, consider  $v = u + \lambda w$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and expand  $T(v, \dots, v) = 0$  in powers of  $\lambda$ . Conclude that, in particular, the coefficient of the linear term  $\propto \lambda$  must vanish. This is true for any  $w$  and any  $u$ . Now proceed by iterating the argument for the remaining  $n - 1$  slots containing  $u$ ....) Now, given the Lemma, it suffices to show that  $\phi^{(1)}, \dots, \phi^{(n)} \in V^*$  exist such that  $(\Theta - \phi^{(1)} \vee \dots \vee \phi^{(n)})(v, v, \dots, v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ . Expressing this in components (with respect to dual bases in  $V$  and  $V^*$  and their tensor products), this means

$$\left( \Theta_{\Lambda_1 \dots \Lambda_n} - \phi_{\Lambda_1}^{(1)} \dots \phi_{\Lambda_n}^{(n)} \right) v^{\Lambda_1} \dots v^{\Lambda_n} = 0 \quad (9)$$

for all 2-tupel  $(v^0, v^1) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $v^0 = 1$  (why?). Setting  $v^1 =: z \in \mathbb{C}$  we get  $\Theta(v, \dots, v) = \Theta_{00\dots 0} + z n \Theta_{10\dots 0} + \dots + z^n \Theta_{1\dots 1}$ . Using the fundamental theorem of algebra, conclude that there exist  $n$  complex tuples  $(\phi_0^{(1)}, \phi_1^{(1)}), \dots, (\phi_0^{(n)}, \phi_1^{(n)})$  so that this polynomial in  $z$  equals  $(\phi_0^{(1)} + z \phi_1^{(1)}) \cdot (\phi_0^{(2)} + z \phi_1^{(2)}) \dots (\phi_0^{(n)} + z \phi_1^{(n)})$ .

### Problem 5

We recall that a *real structure*  $C$  on a complex vector space  $V$  is an antilinear involution (called “complex conjugation”); that is, an antilinear map  $C : V \rightarrow V$  satisfying  $C \circ C = \text{id}_V$ . A vector  $v \in V$  is called *real* with respect to  $C$  if  $C(v) = v$ .

1. Show that a real structure is equivalent to a *linear* isomorphism  $K : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$  satisfying  $j^{-1} \circ K \circ j^{-1} = K^{-1}$ . Here  $j$  is the natural anti-isomorphism  $j : V \rightarrow \bar{V}$  defined in the Lecture.
2. Let  $V$  be a complex vector space and  $\bar{V}$  its complex-conjugate vector space. Show that  $V \otimes \bar{V}$  and  $V \oplus \bar{V}$  carry *natural* (i.e. without specification of further structural elements) *real structure*. Characterise the real vectors in each case.
3. Suppose now that  $V$  has a non-degenerate bilinear form  $\varepsilon$ . Show that now  $V \oplus \bar{V}^*$  has a real structure (that now depends on  $\varepsilon$ ). Characterise the real vectors.

Note: If  $V$  is the 2-dimensional complex vector space carrying the defining representation of  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ , then elements in  $V$  are called *Weyl-Spinors*, elements in  $V \oplus \bar{V}^*$  *Dirac-Spinors*, and the real elements in  $V \oplus \bar{V}^*$  *Majorana-Spinors* (after Ettore Majorana 1906 - ????, who mysteriously disappeared in 1938).

## Problem 6

From the Lecture we recall the following: Let  $L$  be a real Lie-algebra and  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} L$ , which is a real Lie-algebra of twice the dimension as  $L$ . It has a natural complex structure defined by  $J_2(z \otimes X) = iz \otimes X$  (and real-linear extension). The reason why we put the index 2 on  $J_2$  will become clear below. Hence we can make  $\mathbb{C} \otimes L$  into a complex Lie-algebra, which we now call  $(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}$ , by defining  $\mathbb{C}$ -multiplication with  $a + ib$  via  $(a + ib)(z \otimes X) := [(a + ib)z] \otimes X$ . The dimension of  $(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  equals the dimension of  $L$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Now assume that  $L$  already comes equipped with a complex structure  $J$ , i.e. a (real-)linear map  $J : L \rightarrow L$  satisfying  $J \circ J = -\text{id}_L$  and  $J([X, Y]) = [J(X), Y] = [X, J(Y)]$ . Let  $L^{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the complex Lie-algebra obtained from  $L$  by defining complex multiplication accordingly by  $(a + ib)X := aX + bJ(X)$  for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $X \in L$ . Note that the complex dimension of  $L^{\mathbb{C}}$  equals half the real dimension of  $L$ . (The origin of the complex structure  $J$  of  $L$  is not important here. It may stem from  $L$  having the form  $\mathbb{C} \otimes L'$  for some real Lie-algebra  $L'$ , but we shall not need such an assumption.) Prove that

$$(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}} = L^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bar{L}^{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (10)$$

Here  $\bar{L}^{\mathbb{C}}$  denotes the complex-conjugate Lie-algebra to  $L^{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is based on the complex-conjugate vector space on which the multiplication by  $\mathbb{C}$  is defined by composition with complex-conjugation. Note that (10) means says  $(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}$  decomposes into two ideals of equal dimension if  $L$  has a complex structure.

Hint: First show that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $J$  on  $L$  extends to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map  $J_1 := \text{id} \otimes J$  on  $\mathbb{C} \otimes L$  and then also to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map - also denoted by  $J_1$  - to  $(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying  $J_1([X, Y]) = [J_1(X), Y] = [X, J_1(Y)]$  and  $J_1 \circ J_1 = -\text{id}_{(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}}$ . Next consider on  $(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}$  the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps

$$P_{\pm} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \text{id}_{(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}} \mp i J_1 \right), \quad (11)$$

and show that they are projectors, i.e. satisfy  $P_{\pm} \circ P_{\pm} = P_{\pm}$ ,  $P_{\pm} \circ P_{\mp} = 0$ , and  $P_+ + P_- = \text{id}_{(\mathbb{C} \otimes L)^{\mathbb{C}}}$  and also satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 \circ P_{\pm} &= P_{\pm} \circ J_1 = \pm i P_{\pm}, \\ P_{\pm}([X, Y]) &= ([P_{\pm}(X), Y]) = [X, P_{\pm}(Y)]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

These equations imply that  $P_{\pm}$  project onto the eigenspaces of  $J_1$  with eigenvalues  $\pm i$  and that these eigenspaces are Lie-subalgebras.

Remark: The result of this exercise explains the result of the Lecture

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Lie}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong [\mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Lie}(\text{SU}(2))] \oplus [\mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Lie}(\text{SU}(2))], \quad (13)$$

i.e. that simplicity gets lost in the process of taking  $\mathbb{C} \otimes (\dots)$ , while this is not true in other cases, like, e.g.,

$$\text{Lie}(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Lie}(\text{SU}(2)). \quad (14)$$